de Operation Flood II during the Sixth Five Year Plan i.e. 1980-81 to 1984-85:—
r

Particulars	By the end of 1984-85 (Cumulative)	
	Targets	Achievements
1. Rural dairy processing capacity (LLPD)	76 00	87.75
2. Urban Milk Marketing (LLPD)	43 00	50.11
3. No. of Dairy Cooperative Societies.	29007	34523
4. No. of farmer members (lakhs)	34 80	36 31
5. Average Milk Procurement (LLPD)	55.30	57.84

(i) Operation Flood III would endeavour to build further on the moundation laid under Operation Flood II in the country, during the Seventh Five Year Plan,

Price of potato

1201. SHRl MUKH11AR SINGH MALIK; Will-the Minister erf AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a), whether it is a fact that prices of potato has fallen considerably even below' the cost production; 'and

(b) if so, whether Government propose to support the agriculturists in realising reasonable price for their produce through the market intervention scheme?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DBPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND COOPERATION IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI YOGENDRA MAKWANA): (a) and (b) In order to ensure that market prices of potato do not fall to unremun-erative levels, Government have evolved a market intervention scheme for the crop. Market intervation operations through designated State agencies are authorised by Government, on consideration of proposals received from a State Government which either anticipates that market prices' will fall to unremunefative level or finds that this has actualy taken place. The level of intervention price is fixed by Government 'in consultation with the concerned State Government.

For the current (1986-87) crop of , potato, Government have agreed in principle to a market intervention scheme in U.P at the instance of the State Government Intervention prices have been fixed at Rs. 65 per quintal for the White variety and Rs. 55 per quintal for the Red variety far market prices of the specified quality of these varieties have not fallen below the intervention price levels in that State. , No such proposal has been received from any otter State Government.

Procurement price of sugareane

1202. SHRI MUKHTIAR SINGH MALIK: Will the Minister of FOOD AND CIVIL-SUPPLIES be pleased' to state:

(a) whether it is a fart that a delegation of near five hundred farmers from various parts of the county met the Prime Minister during the third week of December, 1986 to seek an increase in the procurement price of sugarcane and payment of arrears to farmers to the tune of Rs. 26 crores; and

(b) if so, whether Government have since considered their demands and decision taken thereon?

THE MINISTER OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES (SHRl H. K. L. BHAGAT): (a) Les, Sir. About 400 persons from various States met the Prime Minister on 16-12-1986 and

two of the. demands related to increase in trie procurement price of sugarcane and payment of arrears.

(b) The Central Government fixes, under the Sugarcane (Control) Order, 1966, the statutory minimum price of sugarcane payable by sugar factories. For 1986-87 and 1987-88 seasons, the minimum prices respectively are Rs. 17.00 and Rs. 18.00 per quintal, linked to 8.5 per cent recovery. These are not procurement prices. They are the floor prices below which no sugar factory can pay. In actual practice, the growers have been getting prices much higher than the statutory minimum. For .example, the growers in Uttar Pradesh are getting a price of Rs. 24 to Rs. 25 per quintal, in Bihar Rs. 24 to Rs. 24.50- per , quintal, in Punjab Rs. 24 to Rs. 27 per quintal, in Haryana Rs. 24 to Rs. 28 per quintal, in Karnataka Rs. 22.50 to Rs. 29.00 per quintal. As regards clearance of arrears, it is primarily the responsibility of the State Governments.who have the necessary powers and field organizations to enforce the same. The Central Govern ment has been requesting the State Gov ernments from time to time to take steps for having the payments cleared expedi tiously,

For the 1985-86 seasons, only Rs. 4.9 crores remained to be cleared as on 15-1-1987 out of the total cane price of about Rs. 1640 crores.

Import of white cement by Nafed

1203. SHRI RAMSINGHBHAI PATALIYABHAI RATHVAKOLI; Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact .that NAFED had imported white cement during 1984-85; if so, what was the quantity and at what rate;

(b) what are the items that NAFED generally import;

(c) whether white cement is ons of the above items which NAFED usally import and how many times NAFED had impor ted white cement;

(d) whether NAFED is not importing this item regularly, if so, the' provision under which NAFED had imponed this item; and

(e) how much profit or loss NAFED made on this transaction?

THE MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI G.S. DHILLON): (a) NAFED ' imported 2,500 tonnes of white cement during 1984-85 policy period at the rate of US $135.50 per tonne (C&F Bombay).

(b) NAFED imports a variety of items such as Nutmeg, Mace, Pulses, dry fruits, cloves, fresh fruit, 'harevest combines & tractors's spare parts, ball-bearing, PVC resins, etc.

(c) NAFED has so far imported white cement in 1984-85 only.

(d) NAFED as a recognised Export House was issued an addition.il licence for import in 1983-84 as an incentive against exports; and it imported white cement under the scheme.

(e) Pending settlement of a dispute involving sales transactions, the . accounts relating to the import of white cement have not been finalised. .

Public complaints tinder new Consumers' Protection Act

1204. SHRI RAM AWADHESH SINGH
SHRl TALARI MANOHAR;

Will the Minister of FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a revolutionary new Consumers' Protection Aot for goods and services has come into effect 'as reported in the 'Hindustan limes' of the 1st February, 1987; and

(b) whether it is also a fact that Five Magisterial inquiries covering public complaints and losses due to failure of goods and services are pending in Delhi alone and if so, what corrective steps have been proposed in this regard under the above Act?